In this April issue of the Postings from the Palo Verde I include recent research done in oilseed crop production.
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Introduction

Regards:

Vonny M. Barlow, Ph.D.
Oilseeds for the diversification winter rotations

There is a need for economically viable winter crop options to diversify annual cropping systems in California. California has one of the most valuable agricultural industries in the world, but in terms of economic value and crop diversity it is dominated by warm-season species that are reliant on irrigation. To maintain the long-term productivity and sustainability of farming in California under a more water-limited future it will be important to have a larger number of economically viable annual crops that can be grown during winter. Depending on the growing region in California, winter crops could also be produced on rainfall and stored-soil moisture alone. Consequently, there is interest amongst agricultural researchers and growers in the potential of canola as a crop for California. There is essentially no commercial canola production in California at the present time, apart from seed increases in the Imperial Valley.

Figure 1: US canola oil and meal production versus imports (USDA NASS, 2015).

Australian canola varieties for California

In North America, variety development for canola has primarily focused on Canada, the Pacific Northwest, Midwest and Atlantic regions. Varieties developed for these regions are unlikely to be well adapted to the Mediterranean climate of California. In contrast, over the past thirty years, a sustained research effort supporting canola production in the Mediterranean agricultural zones of Australia has been carried out. Australia is now the fourth largest canola producer globally. This region is broadly similar to California in terms of latitude, temperature range, rainfall and rainfall distribution, so Australian varieties could form the basis of an expanded oilseed industry in California and expand the US canola industry.

Alternative oilseed options for California

Relative to other brassica oilseeds, canola is generally the highest yielding and has received the greatest research and development effort; however, canola can become unreliable in medium to low rainfall conditions. There are also other agro-ecological circumstances in the state where canola may not be compatible with existing rotations.
Other oilseed species may offer a wider range of adaptations and yield more reliably under low input farming conditions, including limited rainfall. The oilseed species camelina (*Camelina sativa*) is more cold tolerant and matures earlier than canola, and could therefore be more compatible with shorter rotational windows or later planting.

Seed oil from most brassica species makes good-quality biodiesel, and several species, including canola and camelina, are already used commercially for this purpose (KÖRBITZ, 1995). Biofuels are needed in California to meet the state’s requirements for low carbon fuels under the Low Carbon Fuel Standard. California currently has several companies producing biodiesel, which would benefit from additional supplies of locally-produced vegetable oils.

Canola makes good-quality hay and silage, and recent research suggests both winter and spring canola could be used for forage as well as for seed production. Canola will therefore complement existing California livestock enterprises. There is no published information regarding the feed quality of camelina, although anecdotally people report that it is suitable for this end use.

**Project goals and objectives**

With funding from the University of California Division of Agricultural and Natural Resources, we established a project to evaluate the suitability of canola and camelina as winter oilseed crops for California and, depending on project findings, facilitate their adoption by the Californian agricultural sector. The work is on going, but the aim of this report is to provide a preliminary summary of research findings, and results from the winter growing seasons in 2012-13 and 2013-14.

**Project progress report (2012-2014)**

A diverse range of canola and camelina varieties have been assessed at sites located across the state, providing valuable information regarding their performance under California’s agro-ecological conditions. In this section we provide a summary of the outcomes of the work so far.

**Species and variety selection**

To date, one hundred and twenty commercial and experimental varieties of canola, supplied by six private companies and Kansas State University, and one hundred and five varieties of camelina from the company Sustainable Oils and the USDA Germplasm Resources Information Network, have been grown in a multi-environment trial at locations across California, including University of California and USDA research stations as well as private farms. The objective of the work is to obtain accurate and reliable predictions regarding the yield potential of these canola varieties in California.
Multi-environment trials of this type tend to produce unbalanced/incomplete data sets due to issues such as the addition and/or removal of varieties over the course of the work, limited seed supplies, and the loss of plots due to uncontrollable environmental factors such as drought. The wide geographic spacing of sites in multi-environment trials also stretches personal, time and financial resources of small research groups. Anticipating these challenges, we elected to use a partially replicated trial design and to analyze data using a mixed model approach so as to maximize the efficiency of our work.

To maximize the value of crop yield data gathered by our project, detailed soil and climatic has been taken at all study sites. Information regarding the chemical and physical properties of soil has been taken from throughout the soil profile at all study sites, to a depth of 150 cm. This information includes starting and ending volumetric soil water content, soil bulk density, wilting point, field capacity, organic matter percentage, nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and micronutrient content, pH, cation exchange capacity, and soil particle size fractions. Weather data between planting and harvest, including temperature, rainfall, humidity, and solar radiation has been recorded using both the CIMIS station network\(^3\) and our own weather stations.
Figure 2: The locations used for canola and camelina variety evaluations.
Figure 3: A) Collection of soil samples, Davis. B) Early season camelina variety trial, Parlier. C) Adjacent canola and camelina variety trials, Paso Robles. D) Canola variety trial, showing difference in flowering times, Davis. E) Later season camelina variety trial, West Side. F) Harvesting camelina variety plots, West Side.
Variety trial work is on going and therefore the most reliable estimates of variety performance will not be available until after the third field season of the current project is completed; however, preliminary performance estimates are given here. Sites that were lost to drought or animal damage are not included in the yield estimates. A greater number of trial sites are available for camelina than canola, reflecting the fact that camelina has been more tolerant of drought and bird damage.

Canola has good yield potential in California compared to other canola-growing regions such as Canada and Australia. There are significant differences between varieties, with the best performing varieties tending to be short-season spring-types developed in Australia. Small plot yields of close to 5000 kg/ha have been observed in some locations (Davis and the Imperial Valley), although across all sites and years the top ten percent of lines have achieved approximately 3000 kg/ha to 3500 kg/ha. This is higher than the average yield for canola in North America, which is around 1800 kg/ha (USDA NASS, 2015). Winter-adapted varieties from temperate regions have failed to produce seed in California, even in the inter-mountain region (Modoc County) where similar temperatures occur. In the central valley, coastal and desert regions, it did not get cold enough to meet the chilling requirement necessary for flowering of the varieties.

Camelina yields are comparable to what have been achieved elsewhere in North America. Camelina yields have been more uniform across varieties than canola, with the top ten percent reliably yielding 1000 kg/ha.

Preliminary analysis suggests there is only minimal genotype by environment interaction occurring in either the canola or the camelina. In other words, variety rankings seem to be consistent across sites and years. This is interesting given the climatic and soil differences between sites and suggests fewer research sites could be used in the future.
Figure 4: Yield performance of canola varieties in California. Values are best linear unbiased predictions with standard errors. Variety names are not presented for legal reasons.
Figure 5: Yield performance of camelina varieties in California. Note: some varieties have only been included in a single site so variance estimates are not yet available. Values are best linear unbiased predictions with standard errors.
Figure 6: Yield performance of canola varieties by site and year. Data are summarized raw data. Standard deviation is given.
Figure 7: Yield performance of camelina varieties by site and year. Data are summarized raw data. Standard deviation is given.
1.1. **Agronomic requirements**

Besides varietal selection, the most critical agronomic issue for canola in California appears to be identifying an optimal planting time. Correct planting date is an important factor dictating the yield potential of canola and camelina. Unlike the areas of southern Australia where top-performing varieties from our trials were developed, we have observed that soil seedbed temperatures in California routinely become too cold to support uniform and reliable crop establishment before adequate rain has fallen. We therefore chose to investigate this issue using a modeling approach.

Under field conditions, the germination and establishment of canola is sub-optimal below 10°C and germination is reduced when soil matric potential is below -0.4 Mpa. The temperature and moisture thresholds for the germination and establishment of camelina under field conditions are not well documented, however the species is known to be more cold tolerant than canola. In laboratory tests, 100% germination occurs at temperatures as low as 0°C and germination can occur at very low soil water potentials (< -3.0 MPa). We have observed reasonable germination and establishment of camelina crops in the field with soil temperatures of around 5°C.

Seedbed temperatures were estimated for a dry loam soil at 2.5 cm with no soil cover based on average air temperatures. The soil temperature model was validated by comparing soil temperature estimates with soil temperatures at a depth of 15 cm recorded by the California Irrigation Management Information System weather station. Soil water potential and soil moisture content were estimated for 31 years (1983 through 2013) at eleven California Irrigation Management Information System weather station sites throughout the Sacramento Valley, San Joaquin Valley, and Central Coast of California using Hydrus 1D. Each combination of year, soil type, and site requires Hydrus to be run once. This is very time consuming so we developed a program in Matlab to automate the process. CIMIS solar radiation, temperature, humidity, and wind speed data from each of the sites for all years available from 1983 through 2013 were utilized. Soil moisture and matric potential are functions of soil type. Therefore soil types from regions around each CIMIS station were obtained from the United States Department of Agricultural Natural Resources Conservation Service. As most loam soil types were represented in these regions, we estimated values for all loam varieties.

This modeling work found that soil temperatures in the agricultural regions of California will typically decline below 10°C at the end of November but in only a third of years will soils be sufficiently moist for reliable germination under rain-fed conditions by this time. This demonstrates a constraint on the success of canola production in California. To ensure stand establishment in canola in California early planting will be needed in conjunction with supplemental irrigation in a portion of years. The need for irrigation will depend on soil type and less dependent on specific location.

In production situations with water supply constraints or no ability to irrigate canola will need to be planted opportunistically under conditions of early rainfall or sufficient warm seedbed conditions. Canola varieties that germinate more reliably under colder
soil conditions, and which can therefore be planted later in the season, might also be worth investigating.

Camelina, being more tolerant of cold and dry soils than canola, does not have the planting constraint of canola in California. Our analyses suggest the species can be sown in California at any time during winter as soon as seedbed moisture is sufficient.

As variety trialing in California progresses information regarding soil moisture and temperature effects on germination of best-adapted varieties would be useful in making more accurate predictions regarding planting dates.
Figure 8: Estimated soil temperature and matric potential for the Davis region. The minimal soil temperature for canola germination is 10°C, the minimum soil matric potential for germination is -0.4 MPa.
**Water use**

Current drought conditions in California have limited surface water deliveries, increased the use of possibly unsustainable groundwater supplies, and resulted in agricultural land being fallowed. Future climatic changes could further increase the demand for irrigation while reducing irrigation water availability. It is therefore important to understand the water requirements of canola and camelina in California before they are actively promoted as crops. If new species can be shown to use less water than other annual crop alternatives, while providing adequate economic returns, then it makes a strong argument that these species should be investigated further.

At selected research sites, we are measuring soil moisture content using volumetric water content sensors. Using data from these sensors we have made an initial estimate of daily evapotranspiration (ET) in canola and camelina, and its relationship with yield (Figure 10 & Figure 11). This suggests that maximum water use for canola during our study has been around 380 mm. The water use of camelina is less clear but may be as little as 250 mm to achieve full yield potential. This is similar to, or possibly lower than, winter wheat in California, which can be as high as 560 mm for wheat grown for grain, and substantially lower than summer crop rotations.

We have compared modeled daily evaporation and CIMIS reference evaporation to make a preliminary estimate crop coefficients (Kc). In the literature the maximum Kc values for canola and camelina are approximately equal to pan evaporation or 1.0. Our initial results are consistent with this, maximum values are generally not larger than 1.0, although average daily Kc values during active growth periods are usually around 0.5 for both species. Additional data and analyses are required to strengthen these results, but they can be used to inform the agronomic management of these crops in California. Water use is quite low compared to many other crops in California, especially those grown in warmer times of the year.
Grazing (dual-purpose) canola

The use of canola for both oil and seed meal is well established, but it is also suitable as a source of forage. Canola could therefore be a useful source of feed for the Californian
livestock industry. Work from Australia has shown that under diverse climatic conditions, including conditions similar to some California locations and years, commercial quantities of forage biomass can be removed from canola without incurring a reduction in seed yield. Dual-purpose grain cropping – when a crop is first grazed and then permitted to re-grow for grain production – is a well-established practice in cereals (Harrison et al., 2011). A dual-purpose broadleaf crop, with a high value grain, would be valuable for mixed crop and livestock farming operations in California that are common along the central coast. The published work regarding the use of camelina for hay or silage suggests it provides a forage with a good nutritive value when harvested at a stage before the flowering period. There is no published information regarding whether it is suitable for dual-purpose systems.

We investigated the effect of different cutting-times on the biomass yield, biomass quality and seed yield of both canola and camelina (Figure 12). Cutting was used to simulate grazing. There was no significant reduction in seed yield of either canola or camelina if biomass was removed before the end of February (Figure 13). Yields of dry biomass for the mid-February cutting date were approximately 700 kg/ha and 900 kg/ha for camelina and canola, respectively and the biomass was of a good quality for use as forage (Table 1). This work suggests both species could be used in dual-purpose systems in California. Further investigation is justified.
Figure 11: A) The dual purpose study, showing plots of canola and camelina, some of which have been cut. B) A plot of canola being harvested for biomass. C) Canola plants that have been cut. D) Canola plants resprouting after being cut. E) Two plots of camelina, the plot on the left is uncut, the plot on the right has been cut and is regrowing.
Figure 12: The seed and biomass yield from canola and camelina cut at different times throughout the growing season.

Table 1: Feed quality analysis of biomass from both canola and camelina at different times during the growing season.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutting Date</th>
<th>Camelina</th>
<th>Canola</th>
<th>Camelina</th>
<th>Canola</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein %</td>
<td>ADF %</td>
<td>Protein %</td>
<td>ADF %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Jan</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Feb</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Feb</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid March</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late March</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid April</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Agricultural Production Systems Simulator (APSIM)

Crop modeling is becoming increasingly important as a tool for agricultural research. Well-calibrated crop production models are therefore valuable tools for extending the results of trials at limited locations, assessing the potential of a new crop in a new location or across a wider range of years, identifying additional research questions, and better targeting further field research.

The Agricultural Production Systems Simulator (APSIM) is an open-source modular modeling framework, developed by the Agricultural Production Systems Research Unit (in the Australian government scientific body CSIRO), that combines biophysical and management modules to simulate cropping systems. APSIM was designed as a farming systems simulator that could combine accurate yield estimation in response to management with prediction of the long-term consequences of farming practices on the soil (Keating et al., 2003). The program contains modules for simulating crop growth, development and yield, as well as soil water, nitrogen and carbon dynamics (Keating et al., 2003). Independent research has found good agreement between APSIM predictions and field observations, demonstrating the model’s validity and robustness for simulating yield estimates, whole farm modeling, effects of agriculture on water quality, climate change affects and adaptation.

APSIM has modules for simulating canola production, although at this stage no module is available for camelina. We used APSIM to investigate the yield potential of canola in multiple locations in California. The model suggests that, under rainfed conditions in the Sacramento Valley, the long-term average yield for canola could be approximately 3000 to 3500 kg/ha, similar to observations from our field trials to date. With supplemental irrigation, yields in the San Joaquin Valley should be similar. Our current project aims to make an initial validation of APSIM for canola production in California using our in field trial data relating to crop yield, soil and weather conditions.

Weed management & volunteer risk

There is concern among growers and individuals in the public regarding the risk of canola becoming agricultural and/or environmental weeds in California. Even with ideal practices, the size and physical characteristics of the seed of canola will inevitably lead to seed loss during harvest and transportation, and the establishment of feral populations. We have observed seed losses from camelina to be similar to that of canola.

Agricultural weed risk

Canola has been grown for many decades in places such as Canada, Europe and Australia and is not reported to be a problematic agricultural weed in these regions. Southern Australia is climatically comparable to California; therefore the experiences there are likely to be similar to California. In-proper volunteer management of canola can cause yield loss in subsequent crops volunteers. Soil seed banks of canola decline quickly with corrected management. The Canola Council of Canada and the Western Australian Agricultural Department provide best practices for the management of
volunteer canola. So given suitable management we contend that canola is unlikely to become a problematic agricultural weeds in California. Information regarding the agricultural weed risk of camelina is not as available as for canola. Camelina can be weed of cereals (FRANCIS AND WARWICK, 2009). Volunteered management guidelines are likely to be similar as those for canola.

Conclusions

This report provides a summary of the findings from our work relating to canola and camelina in California. Key findings are:

- Canola and camelina varieties with the high yield potential in California are being identified.
- Yields of canola in California have been greater than average yields in other canola growing regions and camelina yields are comparable to yields achieved elsewhere.
- Camelina yields are lower than canola, although similar to yields obtained elsewhere in north American. Suggesting camelina will need to occupy a different agro-ecological niche to be economically competitive.
- Yields from both canola and camelina should be economically viable.
- Agronomic challenges specific to canola in California have been identified, notably temperature and water constraints to germination and planting.
- Water use is relatively low compared to summer rotations and comparable to alternative winter rotations.
- Preliminary assessment suggests the APSIM crop model may be useful as tool for R&D relating to canola in California.
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